

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,715	06/12/2006	Luis Alfredo Diaz Chavez	22080002	7944
7590 01/27/2009 Charles D Gunter Jr			EXAMINER	
Whitaker Chalk Swindle & Sawyer			LEE, REBECCA Y	
Suite 3500 301 Commerce Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Forth Worth, TX 76102-4186			4181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/565,715 CHAVEZ ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit REBECCA LEE 4181 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

#### DETAILED ACTION

It is to be noted that the status identifier of claim 7 is improper (should be withdrawn-currently amended), however to advance prosecution a non compliant letter is not being sent out at this time. Appropriate correction is required in response to this action.

#### Response to Amendment

Affirmation of the election of group I invention claims 1-6 without traverse has been acknowledged. Claim 7 is thereby withdrawn. The requirement is therefore made FINAL.

In view of the amendments made, the objection to the specification is hereby withdrawn. Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the limitation of "solid matter content greater than or equal to 32% by weight". The rejections formerly made are no longer applicable.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/565,715

Art Unit: 4181

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Huege et al. (US5616283).

Regarding to claim 1-2 and 4-5, Huege et al. discloses a hydrated lime (Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) slurry (Column 1, lines 56-58), which is a particular case of calco-magnesian aqueous suspension, with a solid content of more than 39% by weight (Column 2, lines 25-28), more specifically, 35-55% by weight (Column 2, lines 44-46); and a viscosity of less than 1000 cps (Column 2, lines 25-28), which is equivalent to 1 Pa.s.

Huege et al. teaches the particle size is finer than 20 mesh (Column 2, lines 46-47), which is approximately 841 µm. It is the examiners position that surface area is a function of particles size, thus it would be appreciated that the particle size disclosed by Huege et al. corresponding to a surface area reads on the claimed range absent specific evidence to the contrary.

Regarding to claim 3, with x=1, y=0, the suspension is anticipated by or obvious over Huege et al. (Column 1, lines 56-58).

Regarding to claim 6, the size defined by Huege et al. as less than 841  $\mu$ m would broadly encompass the claimed size of D<sub>86</sub> of less than 20 microns. With respect to the d<sub>50</sub> and d<sub>90</sub> limitation, it is to be noted that no size values are defined and it is the examiner's position that all compositions will contain a d<sub>90</sub> and d<sub>90</sub> as well as a d<sub>98</sub> absent evidence.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,715

Art Unit: 4181

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REBECCA LEE whose telephone number is (571)270-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 am - 5:00 pm EST.

Art Unit: 4181

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on 5712720579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 4181 /Michael A Marcheschi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793